

Marking Rubric

Criteria	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Satisfactory (3)	Weak (2)	Fail (1)	Weight
Trade & Economic Concepts	Demonstrates deep understanding of trade and economic principles, accurately applied.	Good understanding, relevant application.	Adequate use of theory with limited analysis.	Weak or partial application.	No understanding evident.	25%
Policy & Economic Impact Analysis	Comprehensive, critical assessment with strong data support.	Clear analysis and logical reasoning.	Some relevant analysis, limited detail.	Weak or descriptive.	Absent or incorrect.	25%
Evaluation & Recommendations	Strong evaluation with justified, evidence-based conclusions.	Logical evaluation with some justification.	Partial recommendations with limited support.	Weak evaluation or unsupported statements.	None given.	20%
Real-World Relevance	Case study highly relevant and insightful.	Relevant example with good linkage.	Some relevance but limited connection.	Weak or unclear example.	None or unrelated.	15%
Structure & Presentation	Clear, professional, coherent.	Mostly organized and readable.	Acceptable but uneven flow.	Poorly structured.	No structure.	10%

Referencing & Research (Harvard)	Excellent and consistent referencing.	Mostly correct referencing.	Minor errors.	Inconsistent or limited sources.	None or plagiarized.	5%
---	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------	----------------------------------	----------------------	----